

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/709,961	06/09/2004	Gary J. Johnson	FIS920040100	3960
32074	7590 03/11/2005		EXAMINER	
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION			NGUYEN, KIET TUAN	
DEPT. 18G BLDG. 300-4	I&2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2070 ROUTE 52			2881	
HOPEWELL	JUNCTION, NY 1253	3	D. TD. 1444 DD. 0244 1000	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(<i>)</i>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/709,961	JOHNSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kiet T. Nguyen	2881			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 1-4,6 and 8-10 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5,7 and 11-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the l	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F	•			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>030604</u> .	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/709,961

Art Unit: 2881

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 112, Second Paragraph

Claims 5, 7 and 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "said central aperture" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the bottom surface of said carrier body" in lines 2-3.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 11 recites the limitation "said carrier base" in lines 6-7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 13 recites the limitation "said at least one clamping area" in lines 1-2.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 14 recites the limitation "said at least one clamping area" in lines 1-2.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 15 recites the limitation "said central aperture" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 15 recites the limitation "said at least one clamping area" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 16 recites the limitation "said at least one clamping area" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 17 recites the limitation "the bottom surface of said carrier body" in lines 2-

3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,961

Art Unit: 2881

Claims 1-4, 6 and 8-10 are allowed.

Claims 11-20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 5 and 7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Reasons for indicating allowable subject matter

The prior art fails to disclose a carrier for holding a workpiece or mask, which includes a set of gripping members rigidly attached to a carrier body for gripping a chuck plate as recited in claims 1 and 11.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

1) Smith et al. disclose a chuck assembly for holding a wafer.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiet T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2479. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R Lee can be reached on 571-272-2477. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,961 Page 4

Art Unit: 2881

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KN

KIET T. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER